Lyceum India Journal of Social Sciences || ISSN (Online): 3048-6513
Volume: 3 Issue: 3 || February 2026 (Special Issue 1) || DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18824737

Social Media, Digital Behaviour, and Cyber Vulnerability: A Review from a Social Work Perspective

Mr. Vimal Makwana[1]

Abstract

The phenomenal growth of social media has rapidly transformed the pattern of communication, identity formation, social relationships, and information access. In addition to creating empowerment opportunities, advocacy, and community building on digital platforms, there are risks: cyberbullying, online harassment, misinformation, privacy breaches, and digital addiction. Understanding digital behaviour and cyber vulnerability from the perspective of social work is crucial to ensure psychosocial well-being, protect vulnerable populations, and enhance digital resilience. This review explores the intersection of social media use, behavioural patterns, cyber risks, and the evolving role of social work practice in digital spaces. Major emphasis is placed on a review of the theoretical underpinnings, risk factors, protective mechanisms, and intervention strategies for social workers in this digital age.

Keywords: Social media, Digital behaviour, Cyber vulnerability, Cyberbullying, Digital resilience, Social work practice

1. Introduction

The digital revolution has brought about a paradigm shift in the dynamics of human interaction, communication, and identity-building. Social media sites such as Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, and TikTok have become an integral part of everyday life, especially for teenagers and young people. These sites are not just technology; they are social spaces where people build their identities, interact with each other, seek validation, and participate in civic activities (Pew Research Center, 2022). For many young people, digital spaces are the first and foremost socialization platforms, which have a significant impact on their emotional development, self-concept, and peer relations (Keles et al., 2020).

In the Indian scenario, the digital growth has been fuelled by the availability of affordable smartphones, reduced data costs, and government efforts to make digital spaces more inclusive. Today,

[1] Assistant Professor, Online MSW, CDOE, Parul University

India has one of the largest internet user bases in the world, with a considerable rise in rural internet connectivity and youth engagement with digital platforms (Internet and Mobile Association of India [IAMAI], 2023). Although this has opened up new avenues for education, employment, and social engagement, it has also led to increased vulnerability to cyber threats. Studies have shown that increased screen time and social media use are linked to increased risks of cyberbullying, misinformation, privacy violations, and online exploitation (Livingstone & Smith, 2014; UNICEF, 2021).Digital environments also shape psychological well-being. Studies suggest that excessive or maladaptive social media use may contribute to anxiety, depression, body image concerns, and fear of missing out (FOMO), particularly among adolescents (Keles et al., 2020). At the same time, digital platforms can provide social support, identity exploration, and community belonging—especially for marginalized groups, including LGBTQIA+ youth who may experience stigma in offline contexts (Craig et al., 2021). This dual nature of digital spaces underscores the need for nuanced analysis that recognizes both empowerment and vulnerability.

Rather than seeing digital spaces as separate playgrounds from the rest of our social systems, from a social work viewpoint, they are simply the extension of our existing social systems because, as the ecological systems described by Bronfenbrenner yet again look to teach us, humans are the product of nested systems of their surroundings, and with the digitization of our world, the current surroundings include our online surroundings (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). Similarly, social learning theory as described by Bandura, whereby humans learn to complete actions through the observations and imitation of others, takes on a whole new dimension in an algorithm-driven world (Bandura, 1977). Online harassment, cyber-violence based on gender, and cyberbullying are not haphazard issues; they are the result of a deep-seated inequality structure in our culture.

Thus, in effect, cyber vulnerability can be related to social justice causes and can be considered more of a social issue in itself. The vulnerable groups, as mentioned in the UNICEF report of 2021, face even greater adverse effects of cybercrime. Therefore, being aware of digital behaviour and its link to cyber vulnerability forms the basis of social work in the present context. As mentioned earlier, the merging of the virtual and real worlds seems to be inevitable.

2. Conceptual Framework

In fact, understanding social media, digital behaviour, and cyber vulnerability calls for a multi-layered view: blending technology with psychology and social dynamics. The phenomena of social media,

digital behaviour, and cyber vulnerability are not events on the net alone but social processes from a social work perspective influenced by power, inequality, access, and human development.

2.1 social media: social media consists of internet-based functions, which allow the establishing of virtual communities, where people can create, share, edit, and react to content with each other. Big names include Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, and TikTok, allowing communication in text, images, video, and lives. Unlike traditional media, social media is interactive, participatory, and algorithm-driven.

These platforms significantly influence:

- Social norms and values change under the bright spotlight of the curated feeds. What we see online shapes our ideas of what is beautiful, what it takes to be successful, what relationships should look like, and what kind of lifestyle is attainable.
- Friendships and peer ties often unfold or even replace in-person chats, especially for teens, with digital interactions being the default way to connect.
- Social media isn't just a distraction; it's a stage for civic life-participation, activism, and collective action often start or spread there.
- The mental health picture is mixed: on one hand, some benefits can stem from feelings of being connected and supported while downsides, indeed negative in tone, manifest in constant comparison and anxiety. Research has pointed out both sides.

From a social work perspective, social media can be viewed as a digital social space in which identities are created, communities are developed, and power is negotiated in real-time.

2.2 Digital behaviour: Digital behaviour is a term used to describe the way people behave, talk, and interact, especially when we are connected and online. Digital behaviour can be defined as the way we interact and talk to one another, the way we expose ourselves, the way we consume knowledge, and the way we behave or respond. According to the theory of social learning, which was proposed by Albert Bandura, human online activities can be mimicked and reproduced through watching and learning from one another and through peer feedback.

Common patterns include:

- The pursuit of online validation: deriving self-worth from likes, comments, and shares.
- Too much screen time: virtual usage without end, which can interfere with sleep, school, and real life.
- Taking Risks: Sharing personal information, talking to strangers, and engaging in "trending" activities are examples.
    - Online activism: participating in online movements and awareness actions.
- Anonymous chat, or speaking without revealing who you are, is liberating but can also contribute to Internet aggression.

Similarly, factors such as the place the person is in terms of development, personality traits, peer pressures, and the culture are also determinants of digital behavior. Further, during adolescence, when the process of self-identity is the major developmental task, virtual environments are significant arenas for self-exploration and comparison (Erikson, 1968). Thus, digital behavior should not be viewed as a psychosocial dimension in isolation from other developmental tasks but as the part of the psychosocial growth process itself.

2.3 Cyber Vulnerability: Cyber-vulnerability is concerned with how individuals or groups may be exposed to digital risks and harm. It arises from a combination of personal attributes-Ie., age, digital comfort level, and emotional resilience-and broader social contexts, such as gender inequality, poverty, and systemic bias.

Common manifestations include:

- Cyberbullying: ongoing harassment or intimidation on online platforms.
- Grooming- Sexual exploitation of minors through manipulative techniques over the internet.
- Identity theft: unauthorized access to personal data and its misuse.
- Financial fraud: Phishing, online scams, and abuse of systems pertaining to digital payments.
- Non-consensual image sharing: distributing private images without permission, also known as revenge porn.

- Digital surveillance refers to the practice of monitoring or tracking a person without their consent. Misinformation/disinformation: The spread of fake content that may influence behaviour and decision-making.

Moreover, Urie Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory highlights how cyber vulnerabilities manifest at various levels: at individual, family, community/organizational, and even society levels (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). For example, poor parental control (at the macro level), substandard cyber law and order (at the exosystem level), and pervasive gender issues (at the macro level) could influence a person's cyber vulnerabilities.

Cyber vulnerability is disproportionately experienced by:

- Children and adolescents with limited digital literacy
- Women facing gender-based digital violence
- LGBTQIA+ individuals exposed to online hate speech
- Elderly individuals susceptible to online scams
- Persons with disabilities encountering accessibility barriers

From a social work perspective, cyber vulnerability is not merely about technological weakness but about social inequities reproduced in digital spaces. Addressing these vulnerabilities requires prevention, digital literacy, advocacy, trauma-informed care, and policy intervention.

3. Theoretical Perspectives

Understanding social media, digital behavior, and cyber vulnerability requires grounding in established social and behavioral theories. These frameworks help situate digital experiences within broader developmental, social, and structural contexts.

3.1 Ecological Systems Theory

Ecological Systems Theory, as advanced by Urie Bronfenbrenner, states that our development is a continuous process taking place within a complex environment of integrated settings that include our immediate “micro-world,” the interaction of those settings or the “mesosystem,” the external environment

or "exo-system" and "macro-system," which are all swept through a process of continuous change or the "chronosystem." The complex environment typically includes family circles, educational settings, our friends, the environment, and the rest of society. But the advent of social media is adding new dimensions to our "micro" and "macro-worlds. There are two arenas in which peer life occurs: face-to-face or online. A fight in the school hallway may extend to online communication media, making the pain feel greater and extend the suffering longer. Furthermore, the nature of the media we're exposed to influences us from beyond our awareness through the ecosystem construct. The macro-system consists of online culture narratives that enforce notions of gender, physicality, accomplishment, and status to affect our beliefs in indirect yet significant ways.

Essentially, digital spaces are not add-ons, they are a fundamental ecological context in which psychosocial development takes place.

3.2 Social Learning Theory

Albert Bandura's Social Learning Theory explains to us that, as human beings, we learn by observing people around us, imitating their behaviors, and receiving feedback about our imitated behaviors, and so on. In the context of social media, we observe, imitate, and receive feedback about our imitated behaviors continuously, especially about our peers and the trends around us. The online world constructs certain behaviour as something normal through likes, shares, and comments. Aggressive behaviour, dangerous challenges, or body-related content can be popularized solely because they are visible and because they are validated through likes and comments. Teenagers, because they live on validation from their peers, may begin to see behaviour such as this as acceptable or desirable. Therefore, online platforms not only showcase behaviour but also amplify it to the point where it influences not only good behaviour (such as civic engagement and creativity) but also bad behaviour (such as cyberbullying and overly revealing oneself).

3.3 Empowerment Theory

According to the empowerment theory in social work, it is important to enhance people's capacities to take control of their lives and struggle against structural injustices. In the last decade or so, social media have emerged as a strong ally for such marginalized groups in organizing movements, sharing real-life experiences, and advancing the cause of rights.

Digital platforms have become home to grassroots activism, feminist initiatives, and avenues for increasing the visibility of LGBTQIA+ communities. At the same time, empowerment not accompanied by online safety may expose individuals to harassment, trolling, doxing, and psychological harm. Empowerment, therefore, needs to co-occur with digital literacy, protective policies, and trauma-informed support systems.

4. Forms of Cyber Vulnerability

Cyber vulnerability refers to exposure to digital harm resulting from behavioural, structural, or technological risks.

4.1 Cyberbullying

Cyber bullying involves repeated, intentional harm inflicted through electronic communication (Hinduja & Patchin, 2018). It may include:

- Threatening or abusive messages
- Public humiliation or shaming
- Creation of fake profiles
- Social exclusion from online groups

Adolescents are particularly vulnerable due to emotional sensitivity, identity formation processes, and peer dependency. Unlike traditional bullying, cyberbullying can occur 24/7 and reach a wider audience, intensifying psychological distress.

4.2 Online Sexual Exploitation

Children and women are disproportionately targeted for grooming, sextortion, and non-consensual image sharing. Digital anonymity allows perpetrators to manipulate, threaten, or coerce victims. Such experiences can lead to trauma, shame, and long-term mental health consequences (UNICEF, 2021).

4.3 Digital Addiction

Excessive social media engagement has been linked to anxiety, depression, sleep disturbances, and academic decline (Keles et al., 2020). Dopamine-driven reward systems embedded in platform design may

encourage compulsive use patterns. Digital addiction also affects interpersonal relationships and reduces offline engagement.

4.4 Misinformation and Radicalization

Algorithm-driven content exposure can create echo chambers, reinforce existing beliefs while limit exposure to diverse perspectives. Misinformation and disinformation may influence political behaviour, health decisions, and social cohesion. In extreme cases, digital radicalization can contribute to polarization and extremist ideologies.

5. Impact on Mental Health

Research indicates a complex and dual relationship between social media use and mental health outcomes. High levels of social media engagement have been associated with:

- Low self-esteem
- Body image dissatisfaction
- Social comparison stress
- Loneliness
- Fear of Missing Out (FOMO) (Keles et al., 2020)

Adolescents frequently engage in upward social comparison, measuring their lives against curated portrayals of peers and influencers. This comparison may distort perceptions of reality and contribute to dissatisfaction.

However, moderated and meaningful social media use may promote:

- Social support networks
- Peer connection
- Identity exploration
- Community belonging

Particularly for marginalized youth, digital spaces may provide affirming communities unavailable offline (Craig et al., 2021). Therefore, the impact of social media is context-dependent and mediated by usage patterns, personal resilience, and social support.

6. Vulnerable Populations

6.1 Children and Adolescents

Children and adolescents face heightened vulnerability due to limited digital literacy, emotional impulsivity, and a strong need for peer validation. Developmentally, they are navigating identity formation, making them susceptible to cyberbullying and social comparison pressures.

6.2 Women

Women frequently experience online harassment, cyberstalking, and gender-based digital violence. Patriarchal norms often extend into digital spaces, reinforcing gender inequality and restricting women's participation in online discourse.

6.3 LGBTQIA+ Communities

LGBTQIA+ individuals may face hate speech, targeted harassment, and privacy breaches. While digital platforms provide community support, they also expose individuals to discrimination and outing risks (Craig et al., 2021).

6.4 Elderly Population

Older adults may experience financial scams, phishing fraud, and digital exclusion due to limited technological familiarity. Digital illiteracy increases vulnerability to exploitation.

7. Role of Social Work in Addressing Cyber Vulnerability

7.1 Digital Literacy Programs

Social workers can implement preventive interventions through workshops in schools and communities focusing on:

- Safe browsing practices

- Privacy protection
- Recognizing cyber fraud
- Responsible digital citizenship

7.2 Counselling and Psychosocial Support

Victims of cyber bullying, online abuse and digital trauma require trauma-informed, culturally sensitive counselling. Social workers can assist in rebuilding self-esteem, coping skills, and resilience.

7.3 Policy Advocacy

Advocacy efforts may include:

- Strengthening cyber laws
- Promoting platform accountability
- Ensuring child protection regulations
- Encouraging digital inclusion policies

7.4 Community-Based Interventions

Community initiatives may include parent awareness sessions, school-based digital safety curricula, and peer mentoring programs to build collective resilience.

8. Ethical Considerations in Digital Social Work

Digital practice requires adherence to professional ethical standards, including:

- Confidentiality in online counselling
- Informed consent in virtual services
- Clear digital boundaries
- Data protection compliance

- Professional conduct on social media

Ethical practice must adapt to technological realities while upholding core social work values.

9. Challenges in Digital Social Work Practice

Social workers face multiple challenges in digital contexts:

- Limited digital training
- Ethical ambiguity in online interactions
- Rapid technological changes
- Risks to client confidentiality
- Inconsistent regulatory frameworks

These challenges necessitate continuous professional development and institutional support.

10. Recommendations

1. Integration of digital safety modules into social work education curricula.
2. Mandatory digital literacy training at school levels.
3. Development of cyber crisis intervention models.
4. Strengthening interdisciplinary collaboration among law, IT, and mental health sectors.
5. Expanded research on long-term digital behavioural impacts in India.

11. Conclusion

Social media is neither inherently harmful nor inherently beneficial. It functions as a powerful social structure influencing identity formation, behavioural norms, and social relationships. Cyber vulnerability reflects broader social inequalities replicated in digital spaces.

A rights-based and empowerment-oriented approach is essential in addressing digital risks. Social workers play a critical role in prevention, intervention, advocacy, education, and digital capacity building.

As society becomes increasingly digitized, social work must evolve proactively to remain ethically grounded, socially responsive, and technologically informed.

References

- Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Prentice Hall.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Harvard University Press.
- Craig, S. L., Eaton, A. D., McEnroe, L. B., Leung, V. W. Y., & Krishnan, S. (2021). Can social media participation enhance LGBTQ+ youth well-being? Development of the social media benefits scale. *Social Media + Society, 7*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305121988931
- Erikson, E. H. (1968). *Identity: Youth and crisis*. W. W. Norton.
- Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2018). Connecting adolescent suicide to the severity of bullying and cyberbullying. *Journal of School Violence, 17*(4), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/15388220.2018.1492417
- Internet and Mobile Association of India. (2023). *Digital in India report 2023*. IAMAI.
- Keles, B., McCrae, N., & Grealish, A. (2020). A systematic review: The influence of social media on depression, anxiety, and psychological distress in adolescents. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth, 25*(1), 79–93. https://doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2019.1590851
- Livingstone, S., & Smith, P. K. (2014). Annual research review: Harms experienced by child users of online and mobile technologies. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 55*(6), 635–654. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12197
- Pew Research Center. (2022). *Social media use in 2022*. Pew Research Publications. https://www.pewresearch.org
- UNICEF. (2021). *The state of the world's children 2021: On my mind—Promoting, protecting and caring for children's mental health*. United Nations Children's Fund. https://www.unicef.org
- World Health Organization. (2021). *Adolescent mental health*. WHO. https://www.who.int