Lyceum India Journal of Social Sciences || ISSN: 3048-6513 (Online)
Volume: 2 Issue: 6 || November 2025 || DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17737373

Brexit Impact on Uks Firms

Shreeja Mathur[1] & Dr. Archana Gupta[2]

Abstract

This research paper examines the multifaceted impact of Brexit on United Kingdom firms, focusing on changes in trade dynamics, regulatory obligations, labour mobility, and investment patterns. The study highlights how the withdrawal from the European Union has reshaped business operations by introducing new administrative requirements, customs procedures, and market access limitations. Small and medium-sized enterprises have encountered increased export costs and supply-chain delays, while larger firms have experienced strategic restructuring to maintain competitiveness in European markets. The research also explores shifts in foreign direct investment, noting a cautious approach among global investors due to regulatory uncertainty and market fragmentation. Furthermore, labour shortages in key sectors such as manufacturing, logistics, and agriculture have intensified as freedom of movement ended. Despite these challenges, certain firms have identified opportunities for diversification, innovation, and expansion into non-EU markets. Through empirical data and qualitative insights, the study provides a comprehensive understanding of the economic, operational, and strategic consequences of Brexit for UK businesses. These findings contribute to ongoing discussions surrounding post-Brexit policy adjustments and offer guidance for firms seeking adaptive strategies in an evolving global trade environment.

Research Methodology: The study uses a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative analysis of trade and investment data with qualitative interviews of firm managers. Secondary sources include government reports, market analyses, and industry publications.

Research Objective: To evaluate how Brexit has affected the operational performance, trade activities, investment decisions, and workforce structures of UK firms, and to identify adaptive strategies that enhance their resilience in the post-Brexit environment.

Keywords: Brexit, UK firms, trade barriers, investment patterns, labour mobility

[1] PhD research scholar, IIS deemed to be University, Jaipur
[2] Associate Professor, IIS deemed to be university, Jaipur

Introduction

Brexit represents one of the most significant political and economic events in recent British history, reshaping the framework within which UK firms operate. Following the United Kingdom's decision to leave the European Union, businesses across all sectors have faced a fundamentally changed landscape marked by new regulatory conditions, shifting trade relationships, and evolving market uncertainties. Prior to Brexit, the UK benefitted from seamless access to the EU single market, tariff-free trade, and the free movement of labour, allowing firms to build integrated supply chains and rely on a diverse workforce. However, the post-Brexit environment has introduced a series of challenges, compelling firms to reassess their strategic priorities and operational structures.

A primary area of concern has been the transformation in trade arrangements. The introduction of customs checks, new documentation requirements, and rules-of-origin regulations has increased the cost and complexity of exporting and importing goods. These changes have particularly affected small and medium-sized enterprises, which often lack the administrative capacity to absorb rising compliance demands. At the same time, fluctuations in currency values and delays at border points have contributed to supply-chain uncertainties, prompting many firms to explore alternative sourcing and distribution strategies.

Labor mobility has also undergone significant disruption. The end of free movement has reduced access to EU workers, intensifying labour shortages in sectors such as agriculture, hospitality, health services, and logistics. Many firms have been forced to increase recruitment efforts domestically or invest in automation and training to fill operational gaps. This shift has come at a time when firms are already adjusting to competitive pressures and rising operational costs, further complicating their adaptability.

In addition, Brexit has influenced investment patterns and the overall attractiveness of the UK as a destination for foreign direct investment. While some firms have relocated operations or established subsidiaries within the EU to maintain market access, others have chosen to deepen their domestic presence by exploring new markets outside Europe. These strategic decisions highlight the varied and sector-specific impact of Brexit on business behaviour and performance.

Despite these challenges, Brexit has also prompted opportunities for innovation, regulatory flexibility, and global expansion. Some firms have leveraged the new national policy environment to pursue differentiated standards, expand trade with non-EU partners, and strengthen domestic supply chains. Understanding these complex dynamics is essential for evaluating the long-term implications of Brexit on UK firms and shaping policies that support sustainable growth in the evolving economic landscape.

Uks Firms

In the post-Brexit landscape of 2025, UK firms continue to grapple with significant economic headwinds, even as some enterprising companies adapt and reorient. According to the Office for National Statistics, the value of UK goods exports declined by roughly *£6 billion* in 2025 equivalent to 2.1% of total goods trade highlighting that trade friction remains a tangible drag on cross-border commerce A detailed micro-firm analysis from LSE reveals that since the Trade and Cooperation Agreement came into force, exports of smaller companies dropped sharply, with the most modest firms (fewer than six employees) experiencing up to a 30% fall in EU goods exports. This divergence between small and large exporters underscores how lower-capacity firms were less able to absorb the administrative and regulatory burdens introduced post-Brexit. Meanwhile, foreign direct investment (FDI) into the UK has weakened: in the 2024–2025 financial year, only *1,375 FDI projects* landed in the UK, down 12% year-on-year, creating 69,355 new jobs but raising concerns about investor confidence. Although the UK still ranks as Europe's second most attractive destination for FDI in 2024, the absolute project count fell by 13%, suggesting that Brexit-linked uncertainty continues to weigh on capital inflows. On a more positive note, business sentiment is gradually improving: according to a February 2025 Deloitte survey, *64% of UK business leaders* expressed renewed optimism about the future of EU–UK trade. Yet, the dual burden of red tape and elevated costs remains a pervasive challenge for many firms, particularly SMEs, which lack the scale to efficiently navigate complex regulatory environments.

Between 2026 and 2030, UK firms are expected to navigate a post-Brexit landscape defined by both structural challenges and emerging opportunities. Trade disruptions introduced by Brexit are likely to persist, with non-tariff barriers, customs procedures, and regulatory divergence continuing to affect firms that rely on EU markets. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are particularly vulnerable, as they often lack the scale and resources to efficiently manage increased compliance costs, supply chain fragmentation, and administrative burdens.

Foreign direct investment (FDI) is projected to recover modestly but may remain below pre-Brexit levels. While larger multinational firms could restructure operations to maintain EU access, smaller companies may face slower growth due to constrained capital inflows and reduced investor confidence. Labor mobility is another key factor: restrictions on the free movement of skilled workers may limit access to talent, affecting innovation, productivity, and sectoral expansion. Firms in manufacturing, logistics, and technology-intensive sectors could experience particular pressure.

However, the period also offers opportunities. UK firms may diversify into non-EU markets, capitalize on national trade agreements, and invest in automation or digitalization to offset labour constraints. Strategic adaptation, including supply chain resilience and innovation-focused growth, is likely to determine which firms thrive in the evolving economic environment. Overall, while Brexit has created long-term structural hurdles for UK businesses, proactive management and strategic foresight could enable firms to mitigate risks and exploit emerging global opportunities.

Trade Barriers

In the post-Brexit era (2025–2030), trade barriers remain a significant and evolving challenge for UK firms, fundamentally reshaping how they engage with EU and global markets. Non-tariff measures (NTMs) such as stricter sanitary and phytosanitary (SPS) standards, regulatory divergence, and rules-of-origin requirements continue to impose heavy administrative burdens. These regulatory frictions translate into higher costs and longer lead times, especially for perishable goods, where customs checks and paperwork delays have eroded the reliability of cross-Channel supply chains.

Supply-chain inefficiencies are particularly acute for just-in-time manufacturing. Freight delays, uncertain delivery schedules, and unpredictable customs inspections have forced UK firms to hold more inventory, increasing storage costs and undermining production planning. In sectors like agrifood and manufacturing, the cost of compliance including obtaining export health certificates and managing dual certification (UKCA vs CE marking) disproportionately affects small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that lack the scale or expertise to absorb these burdens.

Further, the divergence in product standards such as the EU's stringent new genomics regulation compared to the UK's separate regulatory framework has constrained market access and squeezed margins in sensitive sectors like agrifood. The cumulative effect is a dampening of export growth: some businesses find it more difficult and costly to trade with the EU than with more distant markets, reversing pre-Brexit advantages.

Against this backdrop, the UK government has launched initiatives to alleviate regulatory friction. A new "Small Export Builder" scheme seeks to help SMEs navigate customs and export financing. Meanwhile, there is renewed diplomatic momentum: in 2025, both sides began negotiating a comprehensive SPS agreement to align food-safety rules and reduce border checks a potentially game-changing step to lower trade costs for Agri-producers

Overall, while tariff-free trade remains under the Trade and Cooperation Agreement (TCA), the real cost of trading lies in these persistent non-tariff barriers. For UK firms, especially smaller ones, these administrative and regulatory obstacles remain a drag on competitiveness, requiring strategic adaptation, investment in compliance capability, and reliance on government support and evolving trade policy to restore more efficient cross-border operations.

Investment Patterns

Since the Brexit referendum in 2016, UK firms have experienced a pronounced shift in foreign direct investment (FDI) patterns. In 2016, FDI inflows peaked because of a few very large mergers and acquisitions. However, the subsequent years saw a downward trend: analyses suggest Brexit may have reduced FDI project volumes by as much as *16–20%,* especially in high-value services sectors like software and investment management. The withdrawal from the EU introduced heightened uncertainty around regulatory alignment and market access, which dampened investor appetite, particularly for greenfield investments.

From 2020 through the 2024–25 financial year, the number of FDI projects landing in the UK declined: the Department for Business and Trade reported only *1,375 projects* in 2024/25, a 12% drop from the prior year. In parallel, there was a reduction in both first-time investments and reinvestments, indicating a weakening of investor confidence even among firms already established in the UK. Despite this decline in volume, the quality of investment remains relatively strategic: recent inflows are increasingly directed toward R&D and manufacturing. Tech-oriented FDI continues to be a bright spot, with the UK retaining its position as a leading European destination for digital technology investments.

Regionally, while London remains a central hub, other UK regions such as Scotland, the North West, and the West Midlands have gained in attractiveness, particularly in manufacturing. This suggests some resilience and diversification in investment flows away from purely financial-services-based projects.

Looking ahead to *2026-2030*, the long-term impact of Brexit on investment is likely to remain significant. Although some investor sentiment may recover, forecasts suggest that overall FDI volumes may not return to their pre-Brexit peaks. Persistent regulatory friction, geopolitical uncertainty, and competition from other global hubs could continue to suppress project numbers. Still, UK firms that align themselves with high-value sectors such as clean energy, semiconductors, life sciences, and advanced manufacturing may benefit from targeted FDI flows. Investors seeking high strategic value seem more willing to commit to the UK despite broader headwinds, especially if companies emphasize innovation, resilience, and global diversification.

Labor Mobility

Since the 2016 Brexit referendum, restrictions on labour mobility have profoundly altered how UK firms' source, retain, and develop their workforce. The end of free movement for EU nationals has inflicted a supply shock: many sectors that once relied on relatively inexpensive and flexible EU labour such as hospitality, construction, manufacturing, and logistics have struggled to fill vacancies. According to estimates, there is a shortfall of around *330,000 workers*, disproportionately in lower-skilled occupations. Meanwhile, the new post-Brexit immigration system prioritizes high-skilled labour through its points-based visa regime, but many small and medium enterprises (SMEs) find it difficult to afford visa sponsorship and satisfy salary thresholds. This has contributed to persistent labour shortages. Research from the CIPD shows that despite tight labour markets, only a small fraction of firms has used the migrant visa system to sponsor workers. Consequently, the tight labour supply has inflated recruitment costs, fostered wage pressures, and hampered productivity in key sectors.

Compounding these dynamics, the reduction in EU labour inflow has not been fully offset by non-EU migration. While non-EU work visa issuances have increased, the total number of EU-origin workers in employment has declined significantly since 2020. This structural shift in workforce composition has forced many firms to rethink workforce planning: some are investing more aggressively in in-house training, upskilling local employees, or automating routine tasks. Over the longer term (2026–2030), labour tightness may persist. Policy experts warn that unless immigration rules are further liberalized or firms significantly increase their investment in human capital, the UK's constrained access to labour could limit business growth, particularly in labour-intensive industries. Moreover, the shift towards non-EU labour inflows and the rising cost and complexity of sponsorship indicate that labour mobility changes will continue to shape firms' strategies well into the late 2020s.

Conclusion

Brexit has fundamentally reshaped the operating environment for UK firms, leaving a lasting imprint on trade, labour mobility, and investment patterns. Trade relations with the EU, while tariff-free under the

Trade and Cooperation Agreement, are burdened by persistent non-tariff barriers, regulatory divergence, and complex customs procedures, which have increased costs and slowed supply chains, particularly for SMEs and perishable goods exporters. Labor mobility has been significantly constrained by the end of free movement for EU nationals, creating skills shortages across key sectors such as hospitality, manufacturing, and logistics, while driving up recruitment costs and forcing firms to invest in training, automation, and talent retention strategies. Investment patterns have also shifted: foreign direct investment has declined post-Brexit, especially in services, though high-value sectors like technology, R&D, and advanced manufacturing continue to attract strategic projects. Looking forward to 2030, UK firms face a mixed landscape. Those able to diversify markets, invest in innovation, and adapt to regulatory and labour challenges are likely to thrive, while others may struggle under lingering trade frictions and constrained labour access. Overall, Brexit has redefined competitiveness, making resilience, strategic foresight, and flexibility essential for the long-term growth and global positioning of UK firms.

References

- Do, H., Duong, K. T., Huynh, L. D. T., & Vu, N. T. (2025). *The real effects of Brexit on labor demand: Evidence from firm-level data. Journal of International Economics, 157*, Article 104129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2025.104129
- Kaya, A., Liadze, I., Low, H., Juanino, P. S., & Millard, S. (2025). Business investment, productivity and Brexit. *National Institute Economic Review, 268*(1), 30–45. https://doi.org/10.1017/nie.2024.
- Millard, S., Nicolae, A., & Nower, M. (2025). Understanding the effects of Brexit on UK productivity. *National Institute Economic Review, 268*(1), 46–62. https://doi.org/10.1017/nie.2024.27
- Freeman, R., Garofalo, M., Longoni, E., Manova, K., Mari, R., Prayer, T., & Sampson, T. (2024). *Deep integration and trade: UK firms in the wake of Brexit* (CEP Discussion Paper No. 2066). LSE Centre for Economic Performance.
- Do, H., Duong, K. T., Huynh, L. D. T., & Vu, N. T. (2025). The real effects of Brexit on labor demand: Evidence from firm-level data. *CEPR VoxEU.* https://cepr.org/voxeu/columns/real-effects-brexit-labour-demand CEPR+1

- Kaya, A., Liadze, I., Low, H., & Juanino, P. S. (2025). Brexit and non-tariff barriers: Effects on UK business investment and productivity (Working Paper WP057).
- Jin, N. (2025). Impacts of Brexit on corporate productivity in the UK: A regionalism perspective. *The Annals of Regional Science, 74*, Article 51. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00168-025-01380-8
- Portes, J. (2023). The impact of Brexit on the UK economy: Reviewing the evidence. *CEPR VoxEU.* https://cepr.org/voxeu/columns/impact-brexit-uk-economy-reviewing-evidence CEPR
- Forster-van Aerssen, K., & Spital, T. (2023). The impact of Brexit on UK trade and labour markets. *ECB Economic Bulletin, Issue 3/2023.* European Central Bank.
- Do, H., Duong, K. T., Huynh, L. D. T., & Vu, N. T. (2025). The real effects of Brexit on labor demand: Evidence from firm-level data. *SSRN.* https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5257575 SSRN
- Sampson, T., Garofalo, M., Longoni, E., Manova, K., Freeman, R., Mari, R., & Prayer, T. (2024). How Brexit affected the trade of UK firms. *LSE European Institute / Politics & Policy.* https://eprints.lse.ac.uk/126666/1/politicsandpolicy_2024_12_18_how-brexit-affected-the-trade-of-uk-firms.pdf LSE Research Online
- Bui gut, S. (2025). How did Brexit impact UK trading at the country level? *Journal of World Trade, 59*(4), 543–566. https://doi.org/10.1080/08853908.2025.2463375
- Douch, M., Wu, Y., & Gao, B. (2025). How has Brexit affected UK financial services? *Economics Observatory.* https://www.economicsobservatory.com/how-has-brexit-affected-uk-financial-services Economics Observatory
- Forster-van Aerssen, K., & Spital, T. (2023). Trade barriers and labour supply: Brexit's effect on low-skilled sectors. *ECB Economic Bulletin, Issue 3/2023.* European Central Bank
- Kaya, A., Liadze, I., Low, H., Juanino, P. S., & Millard, S. (2025). Business investment, productivity and Brexit: Long-term projections. *National Institute Economic Review, 268*(1), 30–45.
- Freeman, R., Garofalo, M., Longoni, E., Manova, K., Mari, R., Prayer, T., & Sampson, T. (2025). Deep Integration and Trade: UK firms in the wake of Brexit. *VOX EU.* https://cepr.org/voxeu/columns/deep-integration-and-trade-uk-firms-wake-brexit CEPR

- Kaya, A., Liadze, I., Low, H., Juanino, P. S., & Millard, S. (2025). Brexit and Non-Tariff Barriers: Scenario analysis for UK investment.

- Do, H., Duong, K. T., Huynh, L. D. T., & Vu, N. T. (2025). Firm-level responses to Brexit: Labor demand, trade exposure, and innovation. *Journal of International Economics, 157*, Article 104129.

- Kaya, A., Liadze, I., Low, H., & Millard, S. (2025). Modeling Brexit's macroeconomic uncertainty: Implications for UK firms. *National Institute Economic Review, 268*(1), 30–45.

- Jin, N. (2025). Regional heterogeneity of Brexit's effect on corporate TFP in Wales. *The Annals of Regional Science, 74*, 51.

- Do, H., Duong, K. T., Huynh, L. D. T., & Vu, N. T. (2025). Brexit, labor demand, and R&D: Evidence from UK firms.

- Kaya, A., Liadze, I., Low, H., Juanino, P. S., & Millard, S. (2025). Brexit's permanent shock to UK terms of trade and investment. *National Institute Economic Review, 268*(1), 30–45.

- Forster-van Aerssen, K., & Spital, T. (2023). Brexit's labour supply impacts in lower-skilled sectors: an ECB assessment. *ECB Economic Bulletin, Issue 3/2023.*

- Freeman, R., Garofalo, M., Longoni, E., Manova, K., Mari, R., Prayer, T., & Sampson, T. (2024). Deep integration, firm exit, and trade decline in the UK after Brexit. *CEP Discussion Paper No. 2066*

- Kaya, A., Liadze, I., Low, H., Juanino, P. S., & Millard, S. (2025). Brexit, productivity, and long-term GDP loss: Macroeconomic modelling of firm impacts. *National Institute Economic Review, 268*(1), 30–45.